App. F. IX-4

1930

File No. 2-30

Serial Nos. 50. 51

Previous References

Mus 78-25-8

" 31-23-18-26

" 78-25-4

So no 20731-2 / Mus 78-25-4 of 12/12/25

Letter References —

Mus 5'80-21-9Se ; So no 2413-23 of 30/5/1903.

Mus 5'80 21—12e ; Mus-7-5-7 of 1892. Sec'h I

" " " —23 Mus 7 5 of 1896. Sec'h XX(a).

" " " 96-27-28

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

" " " 24. 25-26 33-

" " 20-22

" 603-21-17

" 271-25-2 (Cancelling So no 1475-76 of 19/5-26.

Serial Nos.

Part B for January 1938.

SUBJECT.

Claim of the Social Uganda Association for Rs 30,000/— a Year by Govt.

No 987 of 11.1.1938 to the Musq:ai Commissioner returning records received from him on the Subject.

WD 0295—GPB—22,500—13-8 37

28/1/38

General and Revenue Secretariat 17/3 File No. 2 of 1930.-

Serial No.	
50	Representation No 303 of 14 3. 30 from The Swamigalu of the Sri Sonda Vyasarajaswami Mutt Stating that a sum of Rs 30,000/- is due to the Mutt from Government in respect of the Inamdari villages detailed therein and requesting that the said Sum may be invested in favour of the Mutt at the Mysore Bank Ltd Bangalore. 1) It is not known how this Sum of Rs 30,000/- is due to the Mutt 2) Opn. Calling for report is submitted. R.S 9181 19/3 First Member) 3) 23.3.31 4) Mr 23/3/31 Issue 24.3.31
51	No 7097 of 26 & 27 The Inam Commissioner calls for a report on the petition noted 50 Letter No 1566/30 31 of 27/10/37 from the Mysore Inam Commissioner submitting a report on the claim of the

NOTE.—Contn Sheet.

2) Re: Claim of Sosale Mutt for a sum of Rs 30,000/- as due to the

Mutt File No. 2 of 1930 General and Revenue Secretariat

of Sosale Sri Oyasaray aswami Mutt for a sum of Rs 30,000/- as due from Govt.

1) In view of the detailed report M.C. it is for orders if the Swamigalu of Sri Sosale Mutt may be told in a Vignapana for this that the Mutt is not entitled to any money as claimed in the Srimukha No 303 dt 14-3-30.

May 28.

Es 10/11

2) The mutt was handed over to the swamigalu of the mutt on the understanding that he no claims against Govt. (Vide para 7 of G.O. No. 5633-43 mun. 540-21-8 dated 20th April 1922). The amount due to the mutt was finally settled as to Rs 26846-14-11 in G.O. No. 1475-76 mun. 271-25 2 dated 19th may 1926. The amounts at credit of various mungai institutions contained in the G.O. was arrived at after their verification by a special offi (Mr. A. Muttyunjaya Iyer) and the Comptroller. It is therefore a point for orders whether there is any use to verify again the amount due to the mutt, which was settled in the G.O. of 19th may 1926. If the amount settled in the G.O. 19th may 1926 is accepted as correct, it is a point for orders whether the claims of the swamigalu for Rs 30,000 is not untenable as stated by the

Claim of the Sri Vyasaraya Swamis Mutt. to Rs 30,000, as being due by Govt. as to

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat — File No. 2 — of 1930.

Serial No.

Comr.

11.11.37. 8) In the circumstances explained by the Revs. Swamis, the claim of the Mutt has to be reexamined. The M. E. may perhaps form a favourable endorsement to the Swamigal's letter.

13/11.

Gen. (Retd.)

GENERAL SECRETARY. 13 NOV. 1937

9) The Swamigal's Srimukha refers to correspondence begun by Mr Lakshminarasimha Rao and wishes that that correspce might be brought to a conclusion.

Where are the papers regarding reference?

Rev 17/11/37

10) There are some papers in the Revenue Commissioner's office from 1916 onwards in which Mr Lakshminarasimha Rao's letter dated 7.2.23 is also in the file. No final order seems to have been passed & the matter does not also seem to have come up before that before

Gen Secy 15.11.37

GENERAL SECRETARY. 19 NOV. 1937

NOTE—Contn Sheet.

WD 02553—G PB—50,000—8 7 37

4) 489 Sri Vyasarajaswami Mutt, Ap
Claiming Rs 30,000/- as Dec

File No. 2 of 1930 | General a Se

1) The Sarmukha of the Swamijalu dated 14-3-1931 and the M.C.'s report dated 26/6/1937 are for perusal.

2) The Swamijalu refers to a claim made on behalf of the mutt by Mr T Lakshminarasimha Rao, Sarvadhikari. This is a matter raised long ago and since then the institution's property has been restored to the Swamijalu's management and again taken over. The claim, if any, relates to the cash grant for the period 1893-1903 when the mutt is locale was seen not to have functioned. That being the case, no cash grant would be due. The M.C's view may therefore be accepted

3) The Sarmukha is dated 14-3-1931 and is a ~~record~~ request in general terms. There has been no reminder. It does not appear that any reply is expected and none perhaps is needed. If approved the matter will be closed and the papers vended.

OFFICE OF THE
FIRST MEMBER OF COUNCIL
DEC 17 1937
4140

16/12/37

First Member

14) 4/1/38

4/1/38

4/1/38

5/1/38

Claim of the Swamigalu of Sri Vyasarayaswamy Mutt to a sum of Rs 30,000 said to be due by Govt.

General and Revenue Secretariat — Mvy. File No. 2 of 1930. [App. F. III—2

Serial No.	
15.	In view of the orders contained in paras 12 to 13 of the notes, the papers will be recorded. It is however a point for orders whether the connected records received from the Mayavaram Comr. may be returned to him. Submitted with a draft.

5.1.38.

5/1/38

No 937 of 11.1.1938 to the Mayavaram Commissioner returning records received from him on the subject.

12.1.38

12.1.38

GENL & REV. SECRETARIAT 12. JAN. 1938 BRANCH

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 0284—GPB—30,000—13-9-37

File No. of 193 General Secr



ಶ್ರೀಮದಾದಿ ನಾಮ ಸಂವತ್ಸರದ ಫಾಲ್ಗುಣ ಬಹುಳ ಪಂಚಮಿ

ಲು ಶ್ರೀಮದ್ವ್ಯಾಸರಾಜರವರುಗಳವರ ವಿದ್ಯಾಸಿಂಹಾಸನಾಧೀಶ್ವರರಾದ ಶ್ರೀಮದ್ವಿದ್ಯಾ ಶ್ರೀ

ವಾಧಿತೀರ್ಥ ಶ್ರೀಪಾದಂಗಳವರು ನಮಗೆ ಪರಮಪ್ರಿಯ

ನಾಂಕಿತರು ಲಿಖಿತಪೂರ್ವಕವಾಗಿ ಬರೆದುಕೊಟ್ಟ ಶ್ರೀಕೃಷ್ಣಾರ್ಪಣಂ

ಗೆ

ಇತೋಧಿಕ ಶ್ರೇಯೋಭಿವೃದ್ಧ್ಯರ್ಥವಾಗಿ ಮಾಡುವ ನಾರಾಯಣಸ್ಮರಣಪೂರ್ವಕ ಬರಿಸಿ ಕಳಿಸಿದ ನಿರೂಪ ॥ ಶ್ರೀ

App. E. G. 9]

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA
OF MYSORE.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

Mrz 2-30-51

W. No 1252

No. 2099/Sec 1

The accompanying ~~Petition~~ Representation ~~Registered~~

No. 303 dated 14.3.31

received at the General and Revenue

Secretariat from The Swamiyalu

of the Sri Sosale Vyasaraja-

Swamy Mutt alleging ~~stating~~ that

a Sum of Rs 30,000/- is

due to the Mutt from

Govt under the circumstances

detailed therein.

Order 26.3.1931.

from V. S. Secretary

is forwarded, ~~together with its~~ enclosures

to the Ms. C.

.....

.....for ~~Information~~

favour of report at an early date.

TYPING SECTION.
21. AUG. 1934
GENL. & REV. SECTT.

By Order,

23.3.31

for Secretary.

S S Hegde

BANGALORE,

19/3/1931

[P. T. O.

WD 02048 -GPB—3750—8-8-28

25/3

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರ್.....ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು

.....ಹೋಬಳಿ

.....ಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿರುವ

.....ಗೆ

ಇಂಡಾರ್ಸ್ಡ್ ಹುಕ್ಕುಂ.

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ನೀವು ಗುಜರಾಯಿಸಿಕ್ಕೊಂಡ .....

ಮೇರ್ಕ್ಕಮಿನ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು.....ಬಗ್ಗೆ

.....

.....ರವರ ಖಳಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದೆ

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು.

By Order,

Secretary.

M. C. 13]

No. 1566/30-31.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT. 570 2 - DEC. 1931 MUZRAI

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE.

Dated, Bangalore, 27th November 1931.

FROM

S. HIRIANNAIYA, ESQ., M.A., B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

To

Rajasevaparayana
P. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore.
Financial Department.

SIR,

With refererence to your letter No.672 dated 13-11-31 re: the balance of the Sri Vyasarayaswami Mutt, I have the honor to state that in view of the fact that the balances of all Muzrai Institutions in the State have been correctly determined, the views of the Comptroller to Government as to how he would propose to distribute the amount admitted to be due to the Mutt have been called for and on receipt of his proposals the report required by Government will be sent.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

For Muzrai Commissioner.

No. 1566 Eccl Records
30-31

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, 26th ... 1937

FROM

K. V. ANANTARAMAN, ESQ., B.A.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

To

M. Venkatesa Iyengar, Esq., M.A.,
General Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
BANGALORE.



SIR,

Claim of the Swamigalu
of
Sri Sosale Vyasarajaswami Mutt
to
a sum of Rs 30,000.

With reference to the Financial Secretary's Memo No.2099/Muz.2-30-31 dated 26-3-1931 forwarding the representation of the Swamigalu on the above matter, I have the honor to forward herewith the entire correspondence ending with Comptroller's letter No.558/F.II dated 21-12-1936, in original, for reference and favour of return; and to state as follows:-

2. The Mutt is a Muzrai institution, in receipt of an annual cash grant of Rs 3,977-12-0 in addition to the extensive inams, both in and outside the State. As the previous Swamy had contracted heavy debts, one of the creditors attached the cash grant in 1933 and as it was devadaya inam, the Deputy Commissioner was informed that it was not liable to be attached, and that, the same should, pending orders of Government, be held in deposit without being paid to the Mutt or remitted to the Court. Though the Court attachment was withdrawn, the payment of the allowance to the Mutt was kept in abeyance, pending enquiry into the mismanagement of the Mutt affairs. In the meanwhile, the Swami had gone out on tour to the Madras Presidency, making no provision for the puja of the Brindavanams etc. in the Mutt, and for carrying on the usual Santarpane. The Muzrai Assistant Commissioner, who was asked to inspect the Mutt at Sosale, reported that the Swami had gone out on tour, that the Mutt at Sosale had been virtually closed, and that, neither puja to the Brindavanams nor the daily

Santarpane was being performed. This state of affairs having been brought to the notice of Government, they ordered, in G.O. No.190-1 Muz.F.57-92 dated 27-8-1894, that the puja to the Brindavanams and Santarpane at the Mutt be carried out by the Muzrai Department, on the most economical scale possible, out of the attached grant in money. This state of affairs continued till 1903, when the management of all the lands and the villages in the State belonging to the Mutt was taken over by Government, in their Order No.2413-23/Muz.57-02 dated 30th May 1903 with effect from 1-7-1903. The endowments of the Mutt in British territories also were taken under Government management, at the request of the Swamigalu, in 1905. The management continued with Government for over 16 years and was restored back to the Swamigalu in 1922, as per G.O.No.5833-43/Muz.580-21-3 dated 20-4-1922.

3. The Swamigalu states in his representation that his claim relates to the period when the Mutt properties were under Government management for 19 years (from 1903 to 1922). But, it seems from the Comptroller's letters Nos.7836/689 and 527/TADB (1) dated 12-4-1916 and 16-1-1919, respectively, that the claim is in respect of attached cash grant of Rs 3977-12-0 per annum from 1893. I consider that the Mutt is not entitled grant during the period of mismanagement from 1893 to 1903, as the object of the grant was not being fulfilled. The Swami was absent on tour in Madras Presidency and there was neither puja to Brindavanams etc., nor Santarpane at the Mutt. The Mutt had been practically closed down. It is not possible to find out, at this distance of time, if any services were arranged to be got done by Government according to the Government Order of 27-8-1894 and if the Swami, himself, returned at some later date and did any service in the Mutt. As the object of the inam grant had failed and as it is not established that the Swamy spent anything towards the services in the Mutt, the question of payment of the withheld cash grant does not arise.

4. As regards the period of Government management, it may be seen from the enclosed correspondence that the accounts had not been kept properly in the Comptroller's Office, that the transactions of all the institutions under Government management, of which the Mutt in question was also one, were being entered in one account under the head, "Institutions under the Temporary Management of Government", and that, there was debit balance shown against this institution, which was subsequently wiped

out by adjusting the excess found under Muzrai funds, and that, the Special Duty Officer's balance too could not be accepted as he had taken the figures of the Comptroller's Office in addition to the figures arrived at by him in T.Narasipur Taluk, without assigning any reason (vide extract from the Comptroller's Note sent to Government in No.782/1ADB. dated 15th January 1925).

5. The Comptroller (the present Financial Secretary to Government), in his final reply No.304/1ADB.2 dated 22-1-1932, states that the balance for this institution was adopted as per books of his office, as no detailed accounts had been maintained in his office prior to 1904-05, that the accounts of the Mutt were finally settled in G.O.No.5833-45/F.S.560-21-8 dated 20-4-1922 when the management of the Mutt was re-transferred to the Swamigalu, who agreed to assume the management of the Mutt properties with all claims and liabilities appertaining thereto as they stood at the time of transfer, without having any claim against Government thereafter (vide para 7 of the Government Order) and that, Government, after protracted correspondence and deliberation, fixed some definite balances as on 30-6-1925 for all Muzrai institutions and a further verification of the transactions of very old periods (prior to 1900-01), as suggested by Mr. Muthuranga Iyer, is impossible with the indefinite data available. For the above reasons, he is of opinion that the previous communication of his office dated 10-1-1919, obviously based on un-verified figures, has to be regarded as superseded by the subsequent correspondence and final orders of Government, and that, it is neither possible nor desirable to re-open the question at this distance of time.

6. I quite agree with the Comptroller in the above view. The Mutt is not entitled to any ~~such~~ cash grant for the period of mismanagement from 1895 to 1903 and it is wrong to have shown the amounts drawn during the period as balance in favour of the institution in the previous communications of the Comptroller and the Note submitted by the Special Duty Officer. For the above reasons, I am of opinion that the Swami's claim is untenable and does not deserve any consideration.

All the enclosures accompany.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Muzrai Commissioner.

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

Dated, Bangalore, 6th January 1938.

No. 937/Muzg. 2-30

From Gen Secrts

The *General Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.*

To

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.

Sir, Subject.- Claim of the Swamigalu of Sri Vyasaraya-swami Mutt at Sosale, T.Narsipur Taluk, for the sum of Rs.30,000/- said to be due by Government.

I am directed to return herewith the records received with your letter No.1566/30-31 dated 27th October 1937 on the subject referred to above.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

For General Secretary to Govt.

c.n.2

F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section Mucy.

File No. 2-30

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to ....

No. 937

To

The Inungrai Comr.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Sir,

Claim of the Swamigalu of Sri Vyasarayaswami Mutt at Sosale, S. Narsipur Taluk, for the sum of Rs 30,000 said to be due by Govt.

Date of issue 11th June 1938

I am directed to return herewith the records received with your letter No. 1566/30-31 dated 27th Oct. 1937 on the subject referred to above.

Signed by Mr. S. K. Venkatarama Iyer

I have etc.,

List of enclosures .....

Lr 5/7/38

Copied by .....

I Secy. to Govt.,
Gen. Dept.

Examined by .....

WD 0253—GPB—15,000— 26-8-32